



**California Health & Human Services Agency
Data Exchange Framework Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Meeting
Chat Log (12:00 PM – 1:00 PM PT, November 6, 2025)**

The following comments were made in the Zoom chat log by Members of the TAC and staff during the November 6, 2025, meeting:

15:03:57 From Julie Silas to Hosts and panelists:

I just sharing that I have to jump off a bit early

15:05:16 From Akira Vang to Hosts and panelists:

For those of you who joined late please change your chat to "everyone" instead of "hosts and panelists" so members of the public can see your comment.

15:05:41 From Rebecca Fisher to Everyone:

Thanks, Akira!

15:09:03 From Rebecca Fisher to Everyone:

Social Services and Public Health

15:12:14 From Julie Silas to Everyone:

Uncertainty in accurately identifying individuals across a variety of systems, including health care and social services, results in missed opportunities to collaborate, identify medical errors,...

15:12:42 From Ken Riomaes to Everyone:

👉 This

15:13:15 From Rebecca Fisher to Everyone:

Uncertainty in accurately identifying individuals across a variety of systems, including health care, social services and public health, results in missed opportunities to collaborate, identify medical errors,...

15:13:47 From Julie Silas to Everyone:

👍

15:17:48 From Julie Silas to Everyone:

Also thinking about what Rebecca said - we don't have to look back too many years to know the impacts of poor identify management and data sharing around COVID

15:19:39 From Julie Silas to Everyone:

Those communities that were already doing identity matching and data sharing were able to respond to protect the highest risk individuals in the community, including people experiencing homelessness, more quickly and housing them in non-congregate environments

15:29:04 From Julie Silas to Everyone:

That does that mean doing a biometric?

15:29:13 From Julie Silas to Everyone:

*What

15:29:32 From John Helvey to Hosts and panelists:

finger print or thumb print

15:29:40 From Rim Cothren, HCAI DxF to Everyone:

Using a fingerprint, iris scan, picture of your face



15:30:12 From Julie Silas to Everyone:

For Ash's idea of DMV - a lot everyone has an address, has a Driver's license, or ability to do an id

15:30:20 From Julie Silas to Everyone:

*a lot

15:30:43 From John Helvey to Hosts and panelists:

consumer has to consent to biometrics also

15:32:22 From Akira Vang to Hosts and panelists:

John could you could change your comments to "everyone" so members of the public can see your comments.

15:33:07 From Chris Ticknor to Hosts and panelists:

Agree with Danielle . . . Not a standards but, maybe a "framework" or "guidelines"

15:33:57 From Julie Silas to Everyone:

Agree with Danielle - I think perfect is the enemy of the good here. The challenge with how we do it now, is that people don't want to accept efforts to do data matching unless it is perfect and it can often be a way not to participate - so rather than come up with an ideal perfect algorithm, I'd like to see us come up with a statement or acknowledgement that doing the best we can is legitimate and acceptable and worth doing

15:37:01 From Julie Silas to Everyone:

The least we can do is change the term "fuzzy matching" - I think the language is part of the problem, right? I mean, who wants to rely on something technical that is described as "fuzzy"?

15:37:26 From John Helvey to Everyone:

consumer has to consent to biometrics also

15:41:06 From Jacob Parkinson to Everyone:

Lloyd - to your point about sharing levels of certainty with the data. Leaving aside the practical implications of doing so, does that approach imply that data shared multiple times would need to pass along the aggregate uncertainty which would presumably be lower with each exchange?

15:41:45 From John Helvey to Everyone:

Ash - what are the economic impacts for organizations and providers to adopt those technology strategies...

15:43:17 From Rebecca Fisher to Everyone:

I think that a centralized person index that all organizations can match against independently creates opportunity for standardization and enables utility of match scores

15:51:01 From Aaron Goodale to Everyone:

Agreed John

15:51:07 From Jeff Jarrett to Everyone:

Similar to DBs, I'm not sure how you go about assuring data integrity and reliability w/out having what amounts to a primary key. Also, how do you go about resolving/addressing duplicates if the data from disparate systems aren't the same data elements or don't share a primary key?

15:51:46 From Ashutosh (Ash) Pandey, ACOE to Hosts and panelists:



John - Agree with you, we need to have consumer's consent to Biometric, but I believe this is where the world is heading and the trend will eventually lead there. So my thought is to adopt in controlled way. A lot of people are okay to give the consent but I agree there are more hesitant individual as well.

15:57:16 From Ashutosh (Ash) Pandey, ACOE to Hosts and panelists:

John - In my understanding, while the economic impact need to be evaluated, however, these are existing resources and authorities and hence will not be establishing a new authority so minimal fiscal impact for the state from that perspective.